

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

MAY 30 2018

PETER SZANTO 949-887-2369
11 Shore Pine
Newport Beach CA 92657

LODGED
PAID 10 RECEIVED LJR

United States Bankruptcy Court

in and for the District of OREGON

1001 SW 5th Av., Portland OR 97204

In Re Peter Szanto, Debtor

Adversarial # 16-ap-3114

core case:16-bk-33185-pcm7

=====
Peter Szanto, Plaintiff

vs.

Bank of America, NA, and
JPMorganChase Bank,

Defendants

Notice of Appeal

Plaintiff herewith and hereby perfects appeal from the final judgments
(and their interlocutory components and foundations) of the entire matter
herein to the United States District Court in and for the District of Oregon.
Docket Entries 369 and 370 are attached.

Respectfully,

DATED 30 May 2018

/s/



Peter Szanto

16-ap-3118

Notice - pg. 1

Proof of Service

My name is Maquisha Reynolds, I am over 21 years of age and not a party to the within action. My business address is PO Box 14894, Irvine CA 92623. On the date indicated below, I personally served the within: **Notice** by e-mail to Mr. Olsen and Mr. Henderson at:

nhenderson@portlaw.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed at ~~Portland OR~~ IRVINE, CA

Dated 5-30-2018 /s/ Maquisha Reynolds


DISTRICT OF OREGON

FILED

May 17, 2018

Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court

Below is an Order of the Court.



PETER C. MCKITTRICK
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

In Re:

PETER SZANTO,

Debtor.

PETER SZANTO,

Plaintiff,

v.

EVYE SZANTO, VICTOR SZANTO, NICOLE
SZANTO, KIMBERLEY SZANTO, MARIETTE
SZANTO, ANTHONY SZANTO, AUSTIN
BELL, JOHN BARLOW, and BARBARA
SZANTO ALEXANDER,

Defendants.

Bankruptcy Case

No. 16-33185-pcm7

Adv. Proc. No. 16-3114-pcm

ORDER ON PARTIES' CROSS-MOTIONS
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

For the reasons set out in the Memorandum Opinion filed
concurrently herewith and in the interest of justice and good cause
appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' Motion for Partial Summary
Judgment (Doc. 216) is GRANTED as to all of plaintiff's claims and
DENIED as to defendants' second counter-claim.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment
2 (Doc. 199) is DENIED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, there being no just reason for delay
4 because the summary judgment finally disposes of all of plaintiff's
5 claims, and defendants' outstanding claims are factually and legally
6 distinct from plaintiff's claims, and because judicial economy and
7 justice dictate entry of final judgment on plaintiff's claims, some of
8 which have been the subject of litigation between some of the parties
9 since at least 2009, the court directs entry of final judgment on
10 plaintiff's claims, pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7054 and Fed. R. Civ.
11 P. 54(b).

12 ###

13 Cc: Mr. Henderson
14 Mr. Szanto
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26